1 2 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 3 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 4 \* \* \* 5 ROSEMARY VANDECAR, Case No. 2:21-cy-01714-RFB-BNW 6 Petitioner, **ORDER** 7 v. 8 WILLIAM REUBART, et al., 9 Respondents. 10 11 In this habeas corpus action, the petitioner, Rosemary Vandecar, represented by appointed 12 counsel, filed a motion for stay on September 30, 2024. ECF No. 44. Respondents filed an 13 opposition to that motion on October 7, 2024. ECF No. 48. Vandecar was due to file a reply by 14 October 15. On October 15, Vandecar filed a motion for extension of time for the reply. ECF No. 15 49. Vandecar requests a 30-day extension, to November 14, 2024. Vandecar's counsel states the 16 extension is necessary because of their obligations in other cases. Petitioner's counsel represents 17 that Respondents do not oppose the motion for extension of time. The Court finds that the motion 18 for extension of time is made in good faith and not solely for the purpose of delay, and that there 19 is good cause for the extension of time. 20 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Petitioner's Motion for Extension of Time (ECF 21 No. 49) is **GRANTED**. Petitioner will have until and including November 14, 2024, to file a reply 22 to Respondents' opposition to the motion for stay. 23 24 **DATED:** November 4, 2024 25 26 RICHARD F. BOULWARE, II

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

27

28